

United States Patent and Trademark Office

IW/

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,674	08/06/2003	Tsung-Yen Tsai	CP3015-AMP06508	7158
46713	7590 07/26/2005		EXAM	INER
	SUNG-YEN TSAI 5 CHUNG-HO BOX 8-24		DUONG, HUNG V	
TAIPEI HSIEN,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TAIWAN	·		2835	
			DATE MAILED: 07/26/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/634,674	TSAI, TSUNG-YEN			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Hung v Duong	2835			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address			
THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the period by the Office later than three months after the mand patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Ti	nis action is non-final.				
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5) [Claim(s) 4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 4 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and					
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🔲 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
10) 🔲	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	·			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received. nts have been received in A ionty documents have been	pplication No			
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachment	(c)		Hay V. Ky			
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) \prod Interview S	ummary (PTO-413) HUNG VAN DUONG			
2)	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. PRIMARY EXAMINER formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/634,674

Art Unit: 2835

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katsui et al (US Pat. 6, 067,227) in view of Su (US Pat. 6,396,675).

Regarding claim 4, Katsui discloses a heat dissipating device of a power supply; the power supply comprising a base 7 and a cover 8; wherein power is conducted into a receptacle at a back side of the base 7; a voltage of the power is reduced by an electric element and then is supplied to the computer; an upper end of the cover 8 is formed with a through hole the size of which is expanded as large as possible in the upper end of the cover 8; a fan 2 is installed in an interior of the through hole, the fan sucks outer cool air to blow the interior electronic element; the air is vented out from a plurality of ventilating holes at the backside of the base 7 wherein a mask 2a covers upon the through hole wherein the fan senses the temperature of the power supply and the rotation speed of the fan is adjustable (column 11, lines 55-60).

Katsui fails to disclose the mask is formed by a plurality of co-central round rings and two L shape strips which are fixed to the cover by four screws; and wherein the

Art Unit: 2835

base has an approximate U shape and the cover has also an approximate U shape; after assembly the cover covers on the base by using screws. However, Su teaches the mask is formed by a plurality of co-central round rings and two L shape strips which are fixed to the cover by four screws; and wherein the base has an approximate U shape and the cover has also an approximate U shape; after assembly the cover covers on the base by using screws (see figure 2). Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill to utilize the mask of Su into Katsui's mask in order to detect and provide high-efficiency heat dissipation effects.

Conclusion

2. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung v Duong whose telephone number is 571-272-2041. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30 to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Field can be reached on 571-272-2092. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HVD

7/21/05.

Hung Duong Primary Examiner.